

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,992	10/31/2003	Richard Stewart Garber	1945.155USC1	8864
75	90 07/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Merchant & Gould P.C.			NINO, ADOLFO	
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/698,992	GARBER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Adolfo Nino	2831				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>29-38 and 40-46</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>29-38 and 40-46</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ŗ.					
	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 O<i>ctober</i> 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	` *	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ate.				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

Priority

This application discloses and claims only subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 10/153,361, filed May 21, 2002 (now U.S. Patent 6,649,829 B2), and names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application. Accordingly, this application may constitute a continuation or division. Should applicants desire to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78. Note that if applicants claim the benefit of Application 10/153,361 then a new Oath is needed.

If the applicants desire not to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application above mentioned, then applicants' claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 for Provisional application No. 60/292,477 fails to provide adequate support because the current application was filed more than one year from the filing date of the Provisional application.

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is objected because the claims are not numbered consecutively (i.e. claim number 39 is missing).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Art Unit: 2831

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29-37, 41 and 44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,649,829. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application is claiming a first and second transmitters of respective couplers being constructed and arranged to communicate when said respective couplers are in a pre-coupled position, wherein said pre-coupled position is defined where the first coupler being partially inserted with the second coupler, for which Patent '829 is claiming the same. Moreover, '829 discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second couplers being electrical couplers (claims 38, 42 and 45) nor fluid couplers (claims 40, 43 and 46). It would be have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have either electrical or fluid couplers since it was known in the art that these two types of couplers exist and depending on the task at hand the correct coupler would be use.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adolfo Nino whose telephone number is (571) 272-1981. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,992 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AN

DEAN A. REICHARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT ÉXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800